

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement



United Civilians Saving Australia (UCSA)

<https://ucsa.land>

Witnesses and Victims Endorsement as an interested party, for the filing of “Criminal Complaints” into the International Criminal Court (ICC) and the International Court of Justice (ICJ) or any other court of competent jurisdiction, against “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENTS’ Agents” in their personal capacity, filed by the United Civilians Saving Australia (UCSA), residing on the Unincorporated Independent Nation-State landmass currently known as Australia;

“Australia is a Crime Scene in a National Crisis”

Peter Haughton and Ors representing
The United Civilians Saving Australia (UCSA) on the Unincorporated
Independent Nation-State, currently known as Australia

Versus

“The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENTS” Federal and State Officialdom Agents
in their private capacities;

Including but not limited to, their usufructuary executor and trustee de son tort as high crimes and functioning as a criminal joint enterprise involving widespread and systematic attacks directed against the Australian civilian population, in breach of the below, numerous international laws, treaties, conventions, and civilian protections, including but not limited to the below that is not specifically addressed, and not overstating the transgressions experienced and forced upon the civilian population of Australia, by way of Genocide, Crimes Against Humanity, War Crimes, Acts of Aggression, slavery, fraud, plundering, subversion, and racketeering, by an organised crime syndicate, child trafficking, paedophile offenders and protectors, masquerading as a legitimate democratic government.

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

- **Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)**, G.A. res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc A/810 at 71 (1948), and <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>
- **Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States (UN Resolution 2625 (XXV) of 24 October 1970)** <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/202170?ln=en&v=pdf>
- **(The Nuremberg Code)**, in 2 Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10 181 (1949) https://research.unc.edu/human-research-ethics/resources/ccm3_019064/
- **Convention on the Rights of the Child**, adopted 20 November, 1989, G.A. Res. 44/252, U.N. Doc. A/44/49 (1989) <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/crc.pdf>
- **United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples**, adopted by the General Assembly on 13 September 2007 (A/RES/61/295); <https://social.desa.un.org/issues/indigenous-peoples/united-nations-declaration-on-the-rights-of-indigenous-peoples>
- **Geneva Conventions Act 1957 - Federal Register of Legislation** <https://share.google/vyxZKR5C0snppBuvx>
- **Hague Regulations IV respecting the Laws and Customs of War on Land 1907** entry into force 26 January 1910, signed by 42 countries, ratified by 36 countries. <https://ihl-databases.icrc.org/en/ihl-treaties/hague-conv-iv-1907>

1. I, the supporter, recognise and endorse the United Civilians Saving Australia (UCSA), and this endorsement made under the Articles of the “Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court” entered into force on 1st July 2002 and as civilian, I claim protection under international law from the current “British Territorialists” laws enforced via a domestic military occupation inflicting belligerent Maritime-Admiralty law on this landmass, currently known as Australia;

Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court:

<https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/2024-05/Rome-Statute-eng.pdf>

Article 5 - Crimes within the Jurisdiction of the Court, p3 in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court;

- | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-----|
| a) Crimes of Genocide - | Article 6; | p3 |
| b) Crimes Against Humanity - | Article 7; | p3 |
| c) War Crimes - | Article 8; | p5 |
| d) The Crime of Aggression - | Article 8; | p10 |

(Article 8 *bis* was inserted by resolution RC/Res.6 of 11 June 2010)

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

Article 25 - Individual Criminal Responsibility, p20 of the Rome Statute

An individual is criminally responsible if they:

- a) **Commit the crime** directly and actually carry out the offence.
- b) **Order or direct the crime** - Give commands or instructions for others to commit it.
- c) **Aid, assist, or facilitate** - Help in any substantial way:
 - i. funding,
 - ii. supplying weapons,
 - iii. logistics,
 - iv. planning,
 - v. transportation,
 - vi. Intelligence.
- d) **Contribute to a group crime** - Participate knowingly in a criminal group or common plan.
- e) **Attempt the crime** - Trying to commit the offence can still create liability even if the crime is not completed.
- f) **Incite genocide** - Directly and publicly encouraging genocide is itself punishable.

Article 27 - Irrelevance of Official Capacity; p21 of the Rome Statute

No individual is immune from prosecution before the ICC due to their official position, meaning, being a President, Prime Minister, King, or Queen, military commander, government official, or Members of Parliament does **not protect them from criminal responsibility** for crimes under the Rome Statute.

Article 25 establishes individual criminal responsibility, and Article 27 removes immunity based on official position. Together, they create the principle that individuals, including leaders and government officials, can be individually held answerable for crimes before the ICC. Any immunities or special legal protections that exist under national law or international law cannot stop the ICC from exercising jurisdiction. Corporate immunity does not exist. All individuals are liable and accountable for their actions, therefore, statements such as "I was following orders," "I was only an officer," and "the state did it" do not absolve the accused of their criminal conduct.

Article 68, "The Court shall take appropriate measures to protect the safety, physical and psychological well-being, dignity, and privacy of victims and witnesses..." **p48 of the Rome Statute**

Article 93(1)(j)

Requires States' Parties to assist with the protection of victims and witnesses and the preservation of evidence. **p66 of the Rome Statute.**

2. A brief summary of the certified and verifiable evidentiary cause of action, but not limited to, is as follows:

The Australian Federal, State and Local Governments officialdom Agents, in their personal capacity, have as a matter of fact conspired with the Royal Family and the

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

United Kingdom Parliament, which retains exclusive title over the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK, an Act of the United Kingdom Parliament, collectively, they enforce a foreign law occupation of the “British territorialist” and secret society dictatorship governing systems via maladministration that lacks accountability, yet is disguised as a democracy, while offending the Rome Statute at Articles 6, 7 and 8.

3. This system is weaponised against the civilian population on the landmass currently known as Australia, causing harm, fear, terror, loss, ongoing intimidation, and death to Australian civilians. This includes, but is not limited to, the abundantly evidenced alleged criminal actions of a concealed freemasonry occult secret society dictatorship, engaging in the trafficking of civilians and their children, murder, slavery, torture, democide, menticide, trespass, embezzlement, weaponised mental health assessments, false imprisonment, and the plundering of individual and national assets, all under the threat of gunpoint and potential incarceration, coupled with financial terrorism against the civilians, if not fully comply with and capitulate.

The Evidence Summary – Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK – *Void Ab Initio*

4. It is evidenced that Australia is currently administered by a British Territorialist foreign law military occupation, a terrorist criminal cartel with a belligerent usufructuary trustee AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENTS” in a joint enterprise with its Agents in their private capacity, and their brutal police enforcers serving and wearing the British Crown or Tudor Crown badges, entrapping, harming and terrorising the uninformed civilian population of Australia, while operating under the deceptive Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK and State Constitutions, swearing their allegiance to foreign Crowns, and enjoying its immunity.

5. “The 1898 Draft Bill to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia” was put to the electors in each state, in rounds of voting, excluding original inhabitants and most women from voting at that time.

6. Eligibility to vote was highly restricted:

- a) Original Inhabitants were excluded
- b) Only selected women in South Australia and Western Australia voted
- c) Only adult British subjects were allowed to vote
- d) Generally, men aged 21+
- e) Land holders were given multiple votes per parcel of land owned
- f) Must be registered on colonial electoral rolls
- g) **Total population: 3.77 million**
- h) Eligible voters: 1.1-1.2 million
- i) Total votes cast (combined colonies):-**527,000 votes cast**
- j) Votes in favour: **371,000 “Yes” votes**
- k) Votes against: **156,000 “No” votes**

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

7. Victoria Australasian Referendum Federal Vote Certificate 1899

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

8. Votes “approving” the “1898 Draft Bill to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia” totalled 371,000 votes, equivalent to approximately 30% of selected eligible voters at that time, evidencing that the referendums were far from the assumed “universal democratic participation” as we are led to believe. This demonstrates only a selected portion of the total population had the right to vote, thereby becoming benefactors of the vote in approving the “1898 Draft Bill to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia, including the amendments of the Constitution agreed to at a conference of the States, completed by 3rd February 1899 before it was transported to the UK Parliament for approval and Royal Assent by Queen Victoria.

9. Western Australia voted on 31 July 1900. The critical point is that Western Australians did not vote on the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (UK) given Royal Assent on 9 July 1900. They voted on the amended 1898 Draft Bill to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia—that is, the Constitution Bill that had been approved by the other colonies after the 1899 amendments negotiated by the Premiers, who did not remove “**This act shall bind the Crown**” from Covering Clause 2.

10. The UK Parliament altered the Australian electors-approved final “1898 Draft Bill to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia” without the knowledge or informed consent of the originating electors. Instead, the UK Parliament amended the “Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK” by deleting “**This act shall bind the Crown**” from Covering Clause 2 without a referendum, and forced Australia’s foundational primary law on the people without their consent.

11. Once these most significant alterations were completed and agreed upon by both Houses in the UK Parliament, it was then given Royal Assent by Queen Victoria and sent back to the UK Parliament, where it was made an Act of the UK Parliament, followed by the Proclamation, and then sent to Australia as “The Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK”, without the changes being approved, and then enforced upon the Australian civilian population.

12. There was **no further Australia-wide referendum on the Constitution itself** after it returned from London. The referendums had already occurred in the colonies between 1898 and 1900, and therefore, moving forward without any further consultation, referendums, or approvals is deemed a breach of the constitutional compact, a violation of contract and international law and committing fraud in the factum. The colonial premiers and governments were involved in implementing federation and organising the transition, but there was **no second constitutional approval by the premiers or the Australian voters after the Act returned altered from London.**

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

13. Australian voter approved the 1898 Draft Bill → House of Commons UK → House of Lords UK (UK Alterations) → Royal Assent (9 July 1900) → made an Act of UK Parliament → Proclamation → Commonwealth of Australia 1901 UK begins automatically (1 January 1901).

14. The words “This Act shall Bind the Crown” were intentionally unilaterally removed, for it would have enforced “expressed accountability” on the Crown and the Australian Government and its Agents, both past and present, who swore allegiance to that same Crown. They deliberately and intentionally removed accountability of the Crown and the Members of the Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia from any defective legislations they enforce on the civilian population, granting themselves immunity from prosecution and civil liability, whilst retaining continuity of power over the people who remain dictated to, and deceptively bound by the foreign-altered Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK, that no Australian people voted for.

15. The Australian writers of the “1898 Draft Bill to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia” intentionally included “**This Act shall bind the Crown**” in covering clause 2 of the “1898 Draft Bill,” which means that it positions the Crown and its Agents to be expressly bound by what is in the entire Act, creating accountability and liability of the very system it creates;

16. By including the words “This Act shall Bind the Crown” in the Constitution, it would have instructed;

- a) The ability to bind accountability of law to the Crown and its agents
- b) Equality before the law, to protect the people.
- c) Who can be held accountable and prevent an imbalance of power.
- d) It demonstrated the Australian Drafters’ will to deliberately create an explicit limitation of power while retaining full accountability for the Crown and its Agents.

17. The below evidences, “1898 Draft Bill to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia”, showing “**This Act shall bind the Crown**” in from the original covering clause 2;

<https://nla.gov.au/nla.obj-110807462/view?partId=nla.obj-110823347#page/n0/mode/1up>

Extract below;

Act to bind Crown, and extend to the Queen's Successors.	<u>II. This Act shall bind the Crown</u> , and its provisions referring to the Queen shall extend to Her Majesty's Heirs and Successors in the Sovereignty of the United Kingdom.	II. The Crown.—This Act is to be binding on the Crown. References to “the Queen” extend to the King or Queen for the time being.
--	---	--

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

18. The below evidences removal of “This Act shall bind the Crown” in the altered covering clause 2 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK, removing accountability without informed consent or knowledge of the Australian electors. <https://share.google/qhCxNZTuVPeZGpk3j>

Extract below;

2. Act to extend to the Queen's successors

The provisions of this Act referring to the Queen shall extend to Her Majesty's heirs and successors in the sovereignty of the United Kingdom.

19. In the above extract from covering clause 2, only extends to “the provisions of this Act referring to the Queen”, meaning, for example, her duties of appointing the Governor-General, the assent of bills, and ensuring continuity of power, but is silent on the accountability of the Crown and its Agents.

20. By removing “This Act shall bind the Crown” and being silent on binding the Crown, they reveal their clear and deliberate intention to commit crimes against the people, because of their own language.

21. The removal allows for Crown and Agent privileges and the waiving of accountability. This includes those who swear allegiance to that Crown and share in that same deceptive “conflict of interest” immunity. This has allowed for the unrestricted plundering of the people's rights, freedoms, and assets, and has caused harm to innocent civilians. They have plundered for profit the assets on the landmass commonly known as Australia, with unchecked theft of the nation's private and national resources, whilst benefitting from the profits whilst claiming immunity.

22. These alterations of removing “This Act shall bind the Crown”, grant the Crown and its agents;

- a) The continuity of sovereign reign and privilege with immunity and without accountability.
- b) Those who swear allegiance to that Crown, to retain an asymmetrical authority that allows for biased interpretation of law and legislation by design, determining which Statutes and Acts may apply to the Crown and its Agents at judicial discretion. They have claimed immunity and avoided due process of law and lawful consequences related to their belligerent occupation, as it is the same judiciary that the Crown, heirs, and successors appoint, employ, and pay, therefore “legalising” the benefits of their conflicts of interest.
- c) That the Law and legislation are not equally enforced, allowing Crown agents to weaponise purported laws and enforce them upon Australian civilians.
- d) Non-reciprocal, one-sided contracts, excluding the interests of the people of Australia and have denied the Australian civilians their natural rights, freedoms and prosperity.

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

23. The Australian people never approved or voted for the altered Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK version, directly affecting the current political and legal system's lawful standing, because it is a foreign occupying dictatorship disguised as a democracy.

24. Changing a contract (such as the Constitution) after one party has signed and agreed to it without notifying the other party to obtain their consent before the second party signs and enforces it, is considered contract *fraud or fraud in the factum* and is evidenced as illicit control. This practice is unlawful and illegal, violating the core requirement of mutual agreement in contract law.

25. It is abundantly evidenced that the civilian people on the landmass currently known as Australia have never had any valid contract at any time with the "Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK".

26. As an endorser of this filing with the ICC, ICJ or other Court of competent jurisdiction, I object to the current political system. I have grave concerns for my family and the civilian population of Australia. As a result of these crimes against the civilians of Australia, I have no security or peace of mind. Nor have I consented to or voted for a criminal dictatorship disguised as a democracy. It is clear that the AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT is operated by a majority masonic crime gang syndicate, and other secret societies far worse than any Mafia gang. They have pre-selected their own political party representatives and forced them on unsuspecting civilians, and forced us to vote them into the Commonwealth of Australia Parliament. These political appointees do not represent the wishes of the civilian population. Instead, they make laws under Covering Clause 5, and are propelled by International and National self-interest lobbyists with political party member secret deals, all done without the consent or knowledge of the civilian people of Australia.

27. Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK **Extract below - Covering Clause 5;**

5. Operation of the Constitution and laws

The Act, and all laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution, shall be binding on the courts, judges, and the people of every State and of every part of the Commonwealth, notwithstanding anything in the laws of any State; and the laws of the Commonwealth shall be in force on all British ships, the Queen's ships of war excepted, whose first port of clearance and whose port of destination are in the Commonwealth.

28. AUSTRALIA'S CONSTITUTION With Overview and Notes by the Australian Government Solicitor with Annexed Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 9 July 1900 UK

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

- 29.** I object to the use of the unelected Australian current version of the “Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (UK)”, in which the words **"This Act shall bind the Crown"** have been removed from Covering Clause 2. This allows for the Parliamentarians who create and pass legislation and “laws” to receive 100% immunity from the “consequences” of harmful legislation they make and pass that they ensure is inflicted upon the people, under covering **Clause 5** “This Act, and all laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution, shall be binding on the courts, judges, and the people of every State and of every part of the Commonwealth. When the altered covering Clause 2 is used in conjunction with covering Clause 5, the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK becomes a dictatorship without accountability or fair remedy, and this allows the Parliament to make legislation and rules that protect and suit themselves, despite evidence of Conflicts of interest, for example police investigating the police, and judges investigating judges and suppressing information, covering up crimes with no public audits or reviews permitted. This has allowed the Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia to continue to act with apparent impunity, evading accountability and legal consequences to this day.
- 30.** I endorse and require a court ruling that anyone using or attempting to enforce the current version of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK that was never presented for ratification by a referendum of the civilian population of Australia will be considered liable for major indictable offences committed against the Australian civilian people.
- 31.** I deny that I have ever given my consent to the Commonwealth Parliament of Australia, under the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (UK), to enact or impose rules/purported laws, that terrorise, coerce, or otherwise cause harm to the civilian people of Australia. One example, but not limited to, is the decision to bind the Australian population to policies and directives of the World Health Organization (WHO), resulting in the coercive administration of COVID-19 injections as a condition of employment, social participation, and community engagement. These measures have contributed to significant harm and excessive mortality and economic devastation within the Australian civilian population, while representatives and officials of the WHO have been granted immunity from legal liability and responsibility for the consequences of their actions.

Covid Witness Statement - Full Documentary

<https://rumble.com/v6eaw6d-witness-state-ment-full-ocumentary.html?start=18>

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

32. World Health Organisation (WHO) Immunity from legal process of every kind

Below are partial extracts from the CONVENTION ON THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE SPECIALISED AGENCIES;

Section 5

The premises of the specialized agencies shall be inviolable. The property and assets of the specialized agencies, wherever located and by whomsoever held, shall be immune from search, requisition, confiscation, expropriation and any other form of interference, whether by executive, administrative, judicial or legislative action.

Section 13

Representatives of members at meetings convened by a specialized agency shall, while exercising their functions and during their journeys to and from the place of meeting, enjoy the following privileges and immunities:

(a) Immunity from personal arrest or detention and from seizure of their personal baggage, and in respect of words spoken or written and all acts done by them in their official capacity, immunity from legal process of every kind.

CONVENTION ON THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF THE SPECIALIZED AGENCIES Link : <https://apps.who.int/gb/bd/PDF/bd47/EN/convention-on-the-privi-en.pdf>

Freemasonry Oaths

33. I deny that the secret societies of Freemasonry operating throughout The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents" do not swear a terrorist oath or conduct psychological and physical warfare upon the civilian population on the landmass known as Australia.

34. Following below are similar styled oaths; Apprentice Oath, Fellowcraft, Master Mason Oaths;

“Entered Apprentice Oath”

“In the presence of the great architect of the universe, and of this worthy, worshipful and warranted lodge of free and accepted masons, regularly assembled properly dedicated of my own free will and accord do hereby (v m touches candidates right hand with his left hand and hereon (v m touches The Bible with

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

his left hand) sincerely and solemnly promise and swear that I will always he, conceal and never reveal.

These secret points I solemnly swear to observe, without evasion, equivocation, or mental reservation of any kind, under no less a penalty, on the violation of any of them, than that of having my throat cut across, my tongue torn out by the root, and buried in the sand of the sea at low water mark, or a cable's length from the shore, where the tide regularly ebbs and flows twice in twenty four hours or...

What you have repeated may be considered but a serious promise; as a pledge of your fidelity and to render it a solemn obligation, you will seal it with your lips on the volume of the sacred law. “(The Bible)

“Fellowcraft Oath”

“In the presence of the grand geometrician of the universe, and of this worthy and worshipful lodge of fellow craft Freemasons, regularly held, assembled, and properly dedicated, of my own free will and accord do hereby (the worshipful master touches candidate's right hand with his left). And hereon (Worshipful Master touches The Bible with his left hand) solemnly promise and swear that I will always he, conceal and never improperly reveal, any or either of the secrets or mysteries of or belonging to the second degree in Freemasonry.....

These several points I solemnly swear to observe, without evasion, equivocation, or mental reservation of any kind, under no less a penalty, on the violation of any of them, than that of having my left breast laid open, my heart torn there from, and given to the ravenous birds of the air, or devouring beasts of the field as a prey, so help me almighty god, and keep me steadfast in this my solemn obligation of a Fellow Craft Freemason. Worshipful Master..... As a pledge of your fidelity, and to render this a solemn obligation which might otherwise be considered a serious promise, you will seal it with your lips twice on the volume of the sacred law. “ (The Bible)

“Master Mason Oath”

“I (say name) in the presence of the most high, and of this worthy and worshipful lodge of master masons, duly constituted, regularly assembled, and properly dedicated, of my own free will and accord, do hereby (Worshipful Master touches candidate's hands with his left hand) and hereon (Worshipful Master touches The Bible with his left hand) most solemnly promise and swear that I will always he,

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

conceal, and never reveal any or either of the secrets or mysteries of or belonging to the degree of a Master Mason to anyone in the world.....

All these points I solemnly swear to observe, without evasion, equivocation, or mental reservation of any kind, under no less a penalty, on the violation of any of them, than that of being severed in two, my bowels burned to ashes, and those ashes scattered over the face of the earth and wafted by the four cardinal winds of heaven, that no trace or remembrance of so vile a wretch may no longer be found among men, particularly master masons so help me the most high, and keep me steadfast in this my solemn obligation of a master mason. Worshipful Master (say name) as a pledge of your fidelity and to render this binding as a solemn obligation for so long as you shall live, you will seal it with your lips thrice on the volume of the sacred law.” (The Bible) <http://elijahschallenge.net/index.php/masonic>

- 35.** It is an established fact that the altered dictatorial Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK was brought into Australian law in 1901 by Australia's first Prime Minister, Sir Edward Barton (1901-1903), who was an occult freemason, and maintained by a succession of other Freemasons and Fabian Secret Society members;

Famous Freemasons; Australian Prime Ministers

- Sir Edmund Barton GCMG, KC (1849-1920), 1st Australian Prime Minister (1901-1903). Initiated into Australian Lodge of Harmony No 555 English Constitution on 13 March 1878.
- Sir George Reid GCB, GCMG, KC (1845-1918), 4th Prime Minister of Australia, Lodge Centennial No. 169, UGL of NSW on 16 Nov 1896 ,
- Sir Joseph Cook GCMG (1860-1947) 6th Prime Minister of Australia. Initiated into Lodge Independent No 8 UGLNSW 12 Feb 1892.
- Viscount Stanley Melbourne Bruce (1883- 1967) 8th Prime Minister of Australia. Only Prime Minister to lose his seat at an election in 1929. First Australian to sit in the House of Lords. Initiated at Old Melbournians Lodge No 317 UGLV on 12 June 1925. Resigned 1960.
- Sir Earle Christmas Grafton Page GCMG, CH (1880-1961) 11th Prime Minister of Australia . Initiated at Lodge Prince Leopold No 87 UGLNSW on 4 Dec 1917
- Sir Robert Gordon Menzies KT AK CH FAA FRS QC (1894-1978), 12th Prime Minister of Australia, Initiated into Austral Temple Lodge No 110, UGLV on 10 March 1920. Received a 50 Year Jewel.
- Sir Arthur William Fadden GCMG (1894-1972) 13th Prime Minister of Australia . Initiated into Freemasonry at Caledonia Lodge No 737 Scottish Constitution in Queensland on 20 July 1915
- Sir John McEwen GCMG, CH (1900-1980) 18th Prime Minister of Australia. Initiated into Freemasonry at Lauderdale Lodge No 361 UGLV on 28 July 1926, Master that Lodge 1936, conferred PSGD 1939
- Sir John Grey Gorton (1911-2002) 19th Prime Minister of Australia. Initiated into Freemasonry at Kerrang Lodge No 100 UGLV on 5 Feb 1948. Resigned 1990
- Sir William McMahon GCMG, AC, CH (1908-1988) 20th Prime Minister of Australia. Initiated at Lodge University of Sydney No 544 UGLNSW on 22 March 1974.

- 36.** This freemason site only reveals deceased Freemasons, as well as a list of State Premiers: <https://www.lodgedevotion.net/devotion-newsletter-content/famous-australian-freemasons/large-list-of-notable-and-famous-australian-freemasons>

- 37.** The Altion (Childs) Juric video exposes the extent of the Freemason's infiltration and control of the Australian Parliament and its agents. <https://ucsa.land/video-evidence/>

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

John 8:44

⁴⁴“Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own, for he is a liar and the father of it.” English Standard Version

Evidence - Treaty of Peace 1919 and the Charter of the United Nations 1945

38. Treaty of Versailles, 28 June 1919 Australia signs its first international treaty and is recognised as an independent nation.

<https://peo.gov.au/understand-our-parliament/history-of-parliament/history-milestones/australian-parliament-history-timeline/events/treaty-of-versailles>

39. During the First World War (1914–1918), Australia lost 61,720 service men and women, while approximately 155,000 others were brutally wounded. Their courage and sacrifice were made in defence of the rights and freedoms of Australia other nations, and Australia became a signatory to the Treaty of Peace at Versailles, signed on 28 June 1919 due to this Australia's Blood Sacrifice, This treaty, and had the agreement of the 32 international founding member nation-states, including the signature of David Lloyd George Prime Minister of the United Kingdom (1916-1922). Australia secured international recognition as an independent sovereign nation with its own recognised international personality, establishing what is described as the "Unincorporated Sovereign Australia Independent Nation State".

40. On the 28th October 1919, THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT and its Parliament ratified the Treaty of Peace 1919, adopting it into domestic law, particularly Article 10, which became international law under the Covenant of the League of Nations on the 10th of January 1920.

Treaty of Peace 1919 - https://www.foundingdocs.gov.au/resources/transcripts/cth10_doc_1919.pdf

41. The Covenant of the League of Nations 1920, Article 10 and the Charter of the United Nations 1945, Article 2, paragraphs 1 and 4, both prohibit and abolish the lawful use of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK and all of the Australian State Constitutions, because it is a foreign, occupying power engaging in political interference and territorial trespassing, aided and abetted by the co-joining AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT Agents, colluding in acts of aggression and theft against Australian civilians by a Foreign Member Nation State, the United Kingdom, all offending against internationally agreed and established laws.

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

(United Kingdom and Australia were both founding Members of the League of Nations passed as domestic law, with Royal Assent in Australia, 28th October 1919 under the Treaty of Peace 1919)

Covenant of the League of Nations

<https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/106a5f/pdf/>

Article 10

The Members of the League undertake to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all Members of the League. In case of any such aggression or in case of any threat or danger of such aggression, the Council shall advise upon the means by which this obligation shall be fulfilled.

Charter of the United Nation 1945

(United Kingdom and Australia both were founding Members of the United Nations, and passed as Domestic Law with Royal Assent in Australia 30th August 1945)

Australian and international signatures of the founding Members please take note of Article 1, 2-paragraphs 1 and 4, (as shown below), 6-paragraph 1, 73, 76, 102-paragraphs 1 and 2, 103-paragraph 1, 104, see link;

<https://treaties.un.org/doc/source/docs/charter-all-lang.pdf>

Article 2- paragraphs 1 and 4

The Organisation and its Members, in pursuit of the Purposes stated in Article 1, shall act in accordance with the following principles:

1 The Organisation is based on the principle of the sovereign equality of all its Members,

4 All Members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any State, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations.

42. Showing entry **In Force in Australia**, and passed into domestic law 1 November 1945, see the link showing **This Act binds the Crown** at Part 1 Section 4, **Article 1, Article 2-paragraphs 1 and 4, Article 6-paragraph 1, Article 73/76/102-paragraphs 1 and 2, Articles 103-paragraph 1 and 104.** link; <https://www.legislation.gov.au/C1945A00032/latest/text>


Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

43. Australia, The Concealed Colony

The Concealed Colony explains that Australia has been internationally recognised as a sovereign nation State for many decades, but the Australian governments, courts and legal institutions and their Agents have continued to operate as though the United Kingdom Parliament British legal authority remained in effect. This created a "concealed colony" a situation in which Australia's true constitutional and legal status currently has no basis in Law.

<https://ucsa.land/australia-the-concealed-colony/>

44. Explanatory Statement- Sir Harry Talbot Gibbs, Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia, CCMG, AC, KBE, QC, was chief justice of the High Court of Australia 1981 - 1987 after serving as a member of the High Court between 1970 and 1981:



"I, therefore, have come to the conclusion that the current legal and political system in use in Australia and its States Territories has no basis in law."

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

**45. "The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort
The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents"
are in a joint criminal enterprise. They are hostile occupiers,
offending international law and domestic law.**

46. The evidence below is a "widespread attack on a civilian population" specifically, but not limited to, Genocide, Crimes Against Humanity, War Crimes, Acts of Aggression as domestic terrorism, democide, torture, slavery, fraud, child abduction, embezzlement, and murder by the belligerent Usufructuary Trustee AUSTRALIA GOVERNMENTS and their Agents:

- **Genocide:** Covid "comply or die" lockdowns & mandated bioweapon injections
Witness Statement link; <https://ucsa.land/video-evidence/>
- **Secret Society Terrorist** Freemasonry Oaths in Government, **Section 31-35**
- **Paedophiles Suppression** orders; **Section 49-57**
- **Excessive Child Removals:** Continued over-representation of Original In habitant's children in out-of-home care causing intergenerational trauma caused by many unnecessary child removals. **Sections 58 to 83**
- **Approximately 20,000 detained in prison** without trial on remand. Samantha Hall, ABS head of crime and justice statistics, said: "The number of prisoners on remand rose to 18,036 people in 2024. That's 41 per cent of all prisoners, up from 38 per cent in 2023."

<https://www.abs.gov.au/media-centre/media-releases/prisoners-remand-13-2024>

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

- **Refusal to Allow UN Inspectors:** to inspect Australian Prison system 2021 and 2025
- **Original Inhabitant's Excessive incarceration** and disproportionately high number of their deaths in custody
<https://ucsa.land/video-evidence/>
- Embezzling the Alpha Omega Trust intended for Australia **Section 84-88**
- **Domestic Terrorism** - Port Arthur - **Below**

47. Port Arthur Massacre:

I agree that in 1996, at the Port Arthur Massacre, Tasmania;

- 36 people were killed by a highly trained expert marksman,
- there was no trial of the facts and inconsistent findings were dismissed,
- evidence abundantly points to a government operation,
- evidence is under "E75 Access Restriction" which seals sensitive police records for 75 years,
- the accused Martin Bryant has spent 30 years in prison without trial,
- Psychologists said Martin Bryant had the mind of a nine-year-old,
- Has an IQ of around 68,
- The Tasmanian coroner stated he did not want to investigate because the *"findings I make must not be inconsistent with the decision of the Supreme court."*
- At least one of the rifles used in the massacre has been proven to have come from the police,
- The Tasmania government ordered a purposed built morgue truck to hold 22 bodies just before the event, used it once and sold it just after the event,
- The police were diverted on the day to find a suspected white powder drop, (soap powder) - 2 or more hours away from the event,
- The witness said the shooter was right-handed and shot from the hip killing 20 people with single headshots with the precision of a military marksman,
- **8 days later the gun laws changed and the Australian people were disarmed,**
- Senator Pauline Hanson said it was a "false flag",

48. These all offend the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court Articles 6, Genocide Article 7 Crimes Against Humanity, Article 8 War crimes and Acts of Aggression.

Link: <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/2024-05/Rome-Statute-eng.pdf>

49. Port Arthur Coroners Statement

Link: <https://ucsa.land/port-arthur-coroners-statement>

50. 3rd Edition The Corruption Whistleblower Newspaper - Port Arthur Edition

Link: <https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

The Belligerent Usufructuary Trustee AUSTRALIA GOVERNMENTS and their Agents: Paedophile Protection Racket Suppression Orders for Gross Crimes Against Humanity

– Wood Royal Commission and the Mullighan Enquiry.

51. I endorse an ICC, ICJ, UCC-1 Court, or independent tribunal order for a full un-redacted copy of the Wood Royal Commission transcript and for Bill Heffernan to produce copies of his parliamentary recorded N.S.W Police documents, that were compiled and signed off by investigators assisting the Wood Royal Commission that was “Investigating Allegations of Institutional Mishandling of Child Sex Abuse Evidence” in the NSW Police Force, which contain the names of 28 political, judicial and other high-profile figures allegedly connected with paedophilia, exposing the judiciary, and media and celebrities, including an ex-Prime Minister, which took place under The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents” who acquired their own 90 year suppression order covering up their heinous crimes.

52. Senator Bill Heffernan stated that he received Police files from a police agency during this period, but allegedly investigations into them were halted and they refused to investigate or prosecute these named offenders. The identical documents were given to the Attorney-General, Phillip Ruddock who allegedly concealed them. This is all in ex-senator Bill Heffernan’s Parliamentary speech in 2015 and in the exposure of the Wood Royal Commission failures in 1997.

Bill Heffernan Speech link here:

<https://ucsa.land/bill-heffernans-parliamentary-speech>

53. House debates, Tuesday, 10 November 2020 Statements by Members Royal Commission into the New South Wales Police Service, National Integrity Commission <https://www.openaustralia.org.au/debate/?id=2020-11-10.31.2>

54. House of Representatives on 14/02/2022, Item-PETITIONS - Child Abuse https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Hansard/Hansard_Display?bid=chamber/hansard/25467/&sid=0104

55. I endorse an ICC, ICJ, UCC-1 Court or independent tribunal court order for the full un-redacted copy of the full transcripts to the 2004 “Mullighan Enquiry” which was a major South Australian enquiry into the abuse of children in State care, Mullighan said he had been totally unprepared for the “foul undercurrent of society” which had perpetrated child sex abuse against Wards of the State between the 1940s and 1980s. He had personally interviewed 792 people who were victims. Mr Mullighan said he had referred 170 people with information about 434 alleged paedophiles to police, who arrested two suspects and reported 13 others. Children were exploited, abused and threatened, and only two arrested. There were many

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

more, as we all know. "The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents" who then acquired their own 80 year suppression order covering up their heinous crimes.

56. Remove The 80 Year Suppression Orders On The Mullighan

Inquiry SA, <https://www.change.org/p/https-sa-alp-org-au-tony-piccolo-light-parliament-sa-gov-au-remove-the-80-year-suppression-orders-on-the-mullighan-inquiry-sa>

57. The Wood Royal Commission was sealed and suppressed for approximately 90 years, and the Mulligan Enquiry is subject to an 80-year suppression order in a paedophile protection racket by The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents" who continue their heinous crime syndicate to rule and reign with impunity.

58. Fiona Barnett Eyes Wide open – Book –

<https://nla.gov.au/nla.obj-2892315575/view>

59. Australia's Paedophile Protection Racket - Book overview

https://youtu.be/3NyDrrPmiz4?si=eaRSBbvEYFI_Ot_J

60. Australia has a "Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents" supported by Tax Payer funded Child Trafficking Racket "Child Protection Agencies" who have allegedly been engaging in child trafficking and has been assessed as a "Serious Risk of harm" to the Australian Civilians and their children.

"Speak up for those who cannot speak for themselves... defend the rights of the poor and needy." Proverbs 31:8–9

61. I endorse the complete removal of every single one of THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Agents on this evidence alone. This evidence is submitted to draw attention to one of the most enduring and unresolved questions of justice in the modern world. The treatment of our families and children, especially the indigenous people of Australia meet the international Rome Statute Standards and moral elements of genocide and crimes against humanity.

62. For more than two centuries, indigenous peoples experienced dispossession from their lands, destruction of their communities, suppression of their cultures, and suffered under policies designed to separate them from their identity, families, and ancestral inheritance. The consequences of these actions continue and have now furiously spread to all the civilian families without limitation, and not only will this be measured in historical records, but in intergenerational trauma, social disadvantage, and the ongoing struggle for recognition and justice. Our nation is

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

now, *“Australia is a crime Scene”* as proclaimed by Aunty Glenda at the Grand Jury Indictment on the 8th February 2025 at Old Parliament House.

63. Among the most significant examples are the policies that resulted in the forced removal of indigenous children from their families, now known as the Stolen Generations. These removals were not isolated mistakes or individual excesses. They were systematic by the “Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents” and these policies were carried out over decades and they continue with escalation to this very day. The impacts are; the obliteration of Family, dismissing culture and kinship, confusing identity, and the destruction of connections to extended family and friends.

64. The national apologies delivered by “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents”, culminating in the historic “Sorry” Statement, represented an acknowledgement that grave injustices occurred. However, an apology, while significant, is not accountability. Recognition of harm does not extinguish the obligation to examine the people who are still personally liable for these horrible consequences.

65. Bringing them home: The separation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children from their families

Bringing the Stolen Children Home: <https://ucsa.land/video-evidence/>

66. After the Apology – Documentary follows: Quest to bring indigenous grandchildren home

Year: 2017, run time: 1hr 22min; An apology cannot substitute for truth, justice, or historical facts. In addition more than 10 times the number of children have been removed after the Sorry Statement as documented and reflected in “After the Apology” <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LLP1dosmflw>

67. This Endorsement does not seek vengeance. It seeks accountability to the same principles that the international community applies elsewhere. The question before the international courts is not whether child trafficking and suffering have occurred. That is beyond dispute. The fact is these evidenced actions inflicted upon the Civilian population of Australia, meet the international Rome Statute Standards and moral elements of Genocide and Crimes Against Humanity.

68. The passage of time does not extinguish truth. Nor does it diminish the obligation to confront it.

69. I deny that the Australian child-protection systems has not evolved in a large-scale, self-sustaining intervention framework that systematically removes children from their original families through coercive, unlawful statutory powers, predictive unrealistic “risk assessment” models, and prolonged elongated torturous Government Agent-controlled care arrangements, causing severe psychological, emotional, developmental, cultural, physical, and sexual abuses and

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

intergenerational harm to children and families, and even loss of life and the destruction of many thousands of lives. These crimes are represented in the documentary in "After the Apology".

70. I deny that there is not systematic, unchecked family abuse and separation through the for-profit "Child-Protection" systems, causing harm, torture, and abuse to children and families, exposing children to harm and even physical and sexual abuse, breaching fundamental human rights, international laws, conventions, and treaties established to safeguard the rights, welfare, and protection of children and families. This is only one of the reasons I can never ever trust any of The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents" again. They all have to be accountable before a jury for their joint enterprise of heinous crimes.

71. I deny that Mothers and Fathers are not frequently placed in the position of having to prove their innocence and competence, often at significant personal and financial costs, by engaging legal representatives to navigate the confusing, extremely costly complex administrative and court processes, and to even gain visitation to their children. These pathways are often harmfully lengthy and un-navigable.

72. I deny that these processes do not operate within a system that financially benefits from the continued separation of children from their families, creating incentives that reward for prolonged intervention and out-of-home placements rather than prioritising the preservation, reunification, and wellbeing of the original family units wherever possible.

73. I deny that it is not evidenced that the prolonged removal and separation of children from their families, their own homes, pets and what is familiar, to forcibly remove and rehouse them under the guardianship of strangers, "child protection" can cause profound psychological and emotional harm, amounting to severe trauma, poor outcomes and intergenerational trauma through the deprivation of family unity and parental care, after Child Protection interventions.

74. I deny that Child Safety Reports do not include first-hand testimonies of harm and injury and loss of life, do engage and offend obligations arising under:

- (a) the Convention on the Rights of the Child;
- (b) the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment;
- (c) the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture;
- (d) the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;
- (e) the Rome Statute principles concerning accountability and serious violations affecting the civilian people.

75. I deny that publicly available national child protection data published by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) and the Productivity Commission do not indicate that large numbers of Australian children are

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

removed from, or separated from, their original families each year through unlawful, for-profit statutory child protection systems, all in an effort to destroy the family unit by having criteria that enables a “tick-a-box system” declaring “Unknown Risk” for grounds to remove children, under the instruction of The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents”

76. Child Protection and Safety of Children in Care:

<https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/child-protection/child-protection-australia-2023-24/contents/safety-of-children-in-care>

77. Excessive removals and patterned data sets

I deny that the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), do not confirm that approximately **179,000 Australian children** came into contact with child protection systems in 2023-24,

- equivalent to approximately **1 in 31 Australian children affected by the removal system**,
- including: 70,100 children on care orders,
- 55,300 children removed by force in out-of-home care.

78. I Refer to the Report link below on Government Services 2026 - National spending across support, intervention, and care services 2024-2025.

<https://www.pc.gov.au/ongoing/report-on-government-services/community-services/>

79. I deny that these figures do not demonstrate the growing, recurring large-scale intervention into families and children’s lives, effectively converting them into economic service units (funds to perpetuate the system) with **\$11.3 billion annual budget** within the for-profit state intervention model enforced upon civilians at the threat of gunpoint, where allegedly ongoing removals and prolonged care arrangements sustain funding structures and institutional benefits, mostly with immunity from prosecution, to the detriment of children and their families’ well-being.

80. I deny that Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) reporting for 2023-24 does not state that approximately **1 in 31 Australian children** have had interaction with child protection systems, with a high percentage removed and minimal returns, named the “**No Returns Policy.**” Significant numbers of children remained in long-term state care for multiple years from age 0-18, with some datasets indicating that the cumulative interactions with child protection systems since 2016 may exceed **1.3 million total child-system interactions**, though this includes some repeat contacts and repeated involvement of the same children over multiple years.

<https://www.indigenoushpf.gov.au/measures/2-12-child-protection/data>

81. The Without Necessity Report

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

82. Corruption Whistleblower Newspaper 1st Revised edition 2025 Child Section – Brief of Evidence

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

83. The Child Protection Racket book by Dee McLachlan

<https://thechildprotectionracket.com/>

84. Australia's Paedophile Protection Racket Book

https://youtu.be/3NyDrrPmiz4?si=eaRSBbvEYFI_Ot_J

85. “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents”, are gross human rights abusers. There can be no justice or human rights to be found within the current Australian political or judicial systems, offending and breaching international law and numerous treaties.

Banking Assets to Be Returned to the People

86. I endorse a court order for the return of the assets outlined in the “Committee of 300 Treasury Certificate and Infinite Bank Statements” that were gifted to the people of Australia for distribution; however, the 12,000 metric tons of gold has allegedly been stolen by the Central/Reserve Bank of “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENTS’ Agents”, and the Australian banks have allegedly stolen approximately 7 trillion dollars plus 4% interest since 1966, gifted to the Australian people from the Spiritual Wonder Boy and White Spirit Boy accounts.

87. The Reserve Bank of “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENTS’ Agents”, and the Australian Banks have already been served a “final notice of demand” for the assets to be returned to the trustees, but are refusing to acknowledge the assets stolen or that they even exist.

88. See corruptionwhistleblower.com for proof of service videos and document.

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

89. Below partial extract:

The Committee of 300 Treasury Certificate, naming the banks entrusted with trillions of the Australian people's money; p5-7

<https://kingsolomontrust.com/global-assets/>

8.) Central Bank of Australia with account no. 3341 – 23415 – 1 worth of \$ 350, 000, 000, 000 sponsored by 12, 000 metric tons of gold time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1966.

8-1.) Australia and New Zealand Banking Group (Australia) Account no. 99877745442 in the net balances of \$ 1, 100, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1967.

8-2.) Bank of Queensland (Australia) Account no. 6977720541 in the net balances of \$ 700, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1967.

8-3.) BankWest (Australia) Account no. 33275759710 in the net balances of \$ 700, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1967.

8-4.) Bendigo Bank (Australia) Account no. 36977724515 in the net balances of \$ 700, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1967.

8-5.) Commonwealth Bank (Australia) Account no. 777392012 in the net balances of \$ 660, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1967.

8-6.) Macquarie Bank (Australia) Account no. 3728769721 in the net balances of \$ 700, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1971.

8-7.) National Australia Bank (Australia) Account no. 537771465 in the net balances of \$ 700, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1967.

8-8.) St. George Bank (Australia) Account no. 4257774124 in the net balances of \$ 520, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1967.

8-9.) Westpac Banking Corp. (Australia) Account no. 4267774542 in the net balances of \$ 1, 100, 000, 000, 000 time deposited with 4% per annum on January 1, 1967 (previously turned over by Bank of New South Wales).

The Committee of 300 The World Bank Group USA - Infinite Bank Statements

90. I deny that the banks were not contracted to disburse 50% of the total funds, including the interest at 4% per annum for each 30 years since 1966-67. The banks were entrusted with the funds they have kept and then deny its existence. This applies to all banks mentioned above, for full documents, see www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

Extract sample below;

all banks given this gift have the same contractual obligations.

Pacific Island Continents

855. Australia and New Zealand Banking Group (Australia) Account no. 99877745442 with account name of White Spiritual Boy and with standing balances of US\$ ∞ and Account no. 777625344AM – 842 with account name of Spiritual Wonder Boy and with standing balance of US\$ ∞ confirmed as Eternal Deposit (while under time deposit, its principal balances and interest cannot be touched and/or loaned and/or programmed) that having maturity rate of 4% per annum and per 30 years of maturity and upon maturity; 50% shall be forcibly used for whatsoever Development Program and 50% shall be automatically and forcibly maintained and preserved for another 30 years until lasted for eternity.

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

Civilian Protection

91. Protections for Australian Civilians Under International Humanitarian Law, Including the Geneva Conventions (GC) of 1949 as Incorporated into Australian Domestic Law, and the Victim and Witness Protection Provisions of Article 68 of the Rome Statute

92. I declare my natural status as a protected Civilian of Australia as an internationally recognised sovereign and independent Nation-State. I endorse this Declaration. I assert my entitlement to the protections afforded to civilians under applicable International Laws, including the Fourth Geneva Convention and the Hague Regulations. These protections prohibit harm to civilians, unlawful seizure of property, coercive medical procedures, forced extractions, and unlawful interference with the laws, rights, and administration on the landmass known as Australia.

93. “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents”, incorporated the Geneva Conventions into Australian domestic law on 14th October 1958, when it ratified the 1949 Geneva Conventions via the Geneva Conventions Act 1957. The primary international humanitarian law treaties that govern these protections include four core Geneva Conventions of 1949 and their three Additional Protocols.

Geneva Conventions Act 1957 - Federal Register of Legislation

<https://share.google/vyxZKR5C0snppBuvx>

94. International law generally distinguishes the four main 1949 Geneva Conventions rather than naming a “Convention IX,” the four main 1949 Conventions were ratified by Australia on 14th October 1958, which brought the protections for prisoners of war, wounded/sick forces, and civilians into domestic effect.

“Hors De Combat”

95. International Humanitarian Law: Rule 47

Attacks Against People Hors de Combat

Rule 47. Attacking people who are recognised as “*hors de combat*” is prohibited, “**hors de combat**” is:

- (a) anyone who is in the power of an adverse party;
- (b) anyone who is defenceless because of unconsciousness, shipwreck, wounds, or sickness; or
- (c) anyone who clearly expresses an intention to surrender, provided he or she abstains from any hostile act and does not attempt to escape.

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

96. Breaching Hors de Combat can be deemed a War Crime

<https://ihl-databases.icrc.org/en/customary-ihl/v1/rule47>

97. Letter from Senior Sergeant David Prevett, – Cluster Supervisor – NSW Police confirming the status of Hors de Combat:

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

98. Trusts: Fiduciary Duty

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

99. Hors de Combat template letter

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

100. The Occupier as Trustee: A Legal Research Memorandum on Temporary Administration, Usufruct, and Civilian Property Rights Under Belligerent Occupation, Brief summary;

101. The law of belligerent occupation rests on a single organising premise: an occupying power exercises **effective control without sovereignty**, holding the territory and its public assets as a temporary administrator bound by duties owed to the protected civilian population. The core treaty architecture – Hague Regulations Article 43, 46, and 55, and Geneva Convention IV Article 53 and 64 – together with customary international law, treats the occupant as **administrator and usufructuary**, not owner; sovereignty does not transfer, and the conservationist principle prohibits permanent transformation of the territory's legal, economic or social order.

102. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) has repeatedly affirmed this framed work, most recently in its 19 July 2024 Advisory Opinion holding that an occupying Power “must safeguard the capital of natural resources” and that extending its own domestic law into occupying territory is inconsistent with Article 43 of the Hague Regulations and Article 64 of the GC IV.

103. Civilians under occupation retain protected beneficial interests in private property, civil status, and the underlying legal order. The occupier holds only limited, temporary, fiduciary-like authority. Any act that confiscates private property, destroys registries, displaces beneficial interests, or permanently alters the legal order is presumptively unlawful under Hague Articles 43/46/55 and Geneva Convention IV Article 53/64 and triggers individual criminal responsibility (including command responsibility) under customary IHL, the Rome Statute, and - in Australia - Division 268 of the Criminal Code Act.

104. The Occupier as Trustee: Full 17 Page document here

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

National Crisis on the landmass currently known as Australia

- 105.** I affirm that the security of Australian civilians is paramount, particularly those who have surrendered under Rule 47 (c) Hors De Combat, for the sick and the vulnerable, under a belligerent occupying enemy domestic terrorist network, leaving the civilians with no security under this current occupation.
- 106.** I demand compliance with the Rome Statute and will not be treated as an accessory to war crimes under international law, with an already established fact that “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents” are a belligerent occupation via the Charter of the United Nations 1945, Article 2 paragraphs 1 and 4, by the continued use of the unelected Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 UK, swearing their allegiance to a foreign Crown.
- 107.** I declare that I am a whistleblower in terms of the Rome Statute Articles and I require the International Court of competent jurisdiction make rulings and orders stating that I am a States’ Witness and Victim, and I clearly need protection under the Rome Statute Article 68 from these armed occupying enemy forces, “THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENTS’ Officialdom Agents”, while they are under investigation for Genocide, Crimes Against Humanity, War Crimes, and Acts of Aggression. Accordingly, I request that the ICC, ICJ or UCC-1, exercise its authority under the Rome Statute to implement all appropriate witness and victim protection measures, and to ensure that my rights, interests, and security are fully protected while the matters raised remain under consideration, examination, or investigation by the International Courts.
- 108.** I deny that “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents”, have not set up financial entrapments for the Australian people to forcibly extort our assets, and I am literally petrified to make any payments to the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) or any other foreign occupation monetary demands such as council payments, fines, and other taxes because I will be deemed an accessory to major indictable crimes by funding the current domestic military occupations crimes of Genocide, Democide, War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity and other acts of Aggression against my own people.
- 109.** I declare that I may be incarcerated, harmed, tortured, murdered or terrorised if I do not comply with the occupiers’ orders regarding forced use of a fraudulent constructive trust split-title named birth certificate and forced to register my vehicle licenses and registration, and register my property under split land title deeds under the threat of gunpoint, Taser or general violent harm to fund war crimes against my own civilian population.

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

- 110.** I am here before the International Courts for a ruling that “The Belligerent Usufructuary executor and trustee de son tort AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents” are deemed “As unlawful belligerent occupiers by fraud on the landmass commonly known as Australia” offending International Law, specifically the Rome Statute - Article 6 - Genocide, Article 7- Crimes Against Humanity, Article 8 - War Crimes and Extreme Acts of Aggression against the civilians of Australia and others and to hand governance to the competent People with outstanding qualities who have been advocating for the freedoms of our people and land.
- 111.** I further request that United Nations peacekeepers intervene to prevent any further acts of Genocide, Crimes Against Humanity, War Crimes, and Acts of Aggression, thereby potentially preventing civil unrest, and enabling the civilian population of Australia to self-govern, and be freed from the belligerent occupying terrorists, and allow the people and our lands to prosper again.
- 112.** I also petition for sanctuary within our own nation-state, as I presently have no security for my person, nor access to a valid, just, and trustworthy legal or court system in Australia capable of enforcing appropriate checks and balances against the occupying belligerent domestic terrorist regime.

Grand Jury Indictments

- 113.** As a result of these Unanimous Grand Jury Indictment approvals where the Attorney-General was indicted by the Peoples Court, and therefore he cannot block our filing in the ICC or the ICJ. The approval requirements under Sections 268.12.121 and .122 of the Criminal Code Act 1995 are compromised by a conflict of interest, as the Attorney-General was himself indicted in these Grand Jury Indictments, thereby enabling the bypassing of those approval provisions by the Nation-State. This is the pathway the United Civilians Saving Australia are pursuing.
- 114.** On the 8th February 2025 at the Old Parliament House at Canberra, “The People’s Court” held a Grand Jury to Indict around 266 Agents working for THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT and their officialdom. There were around 300 sworn-in jurors. This indictment was passed unanimously for the listed people on the Grand Jury Indictment form, named; **“Treason, Fraud, Democide and other crimes – “Grand Jury Indictment - File No: GJI-08022025”** Video Link https://youtu.be/b9bagQotY_k?si=3S4tFtzoM1WHCVaC

Indictment Charge List, Charges include, but are not limited to:

1. **Treason against the People.**
2. **Major Fraud**
3. **Insurrection.**
4. **Child Abductions & Child Trafficking.**

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

5. Genocide/ Democide (murder by Government)
6. Crimes Against Humanity.
7. Perverting the Course of Justice.
8. Dishonestly Dealing in Documents.
9. Gaining a Financial Advantage by Deception.
10. Referendum Frauds.
11. Making False Oaths.
12. Slavery & Torture.
13. Other Crimes: War Crimes and Crimes of Aggression

115. On the 6th July 2025 at Canberra at Magna Carta Place, a second Grand Jury to indict around 270 of THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S officialdom Agents, were conducted, and again the sworn in jurors unanimously voted to indict them all as per the document named "Grand Jury Indictment July 2025"

Video Link to 6th July 2026: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OZhuCW2Qekg>

116. I agree there are no valid or legitimate courts on this land, and only corrupted unlawful biased star chamber Courts with registrars blocking selected filings to conceal their corruption. There is thus no domestic court that would allow sealed filings of these very important matters.

<https://ucsa.land/pdf-evidence-documents/>

Action Plan

117. I endorse a court ruling that "The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents" and their wholesale theft from the beneficiaries' assets is a High Crime, and I seek to remove them as "Trustee De Son Tort" and have them deemed unlawful as a military domestic terrorist's occupation on the land mass commonly known as Australia.

118. I endorse and require immediate detention until trial of the following offenders; "The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents" Generals in their individual capacity, from 2002 to 2026;

Governor-General	Attorney-General	Solicitor-General
Auditor-General	Director-General of Security (ASIO)	State Attorneys-General
State Solicitors-General	State Auditors-General	Surveyors-General
Registrars-General	Valuers-General	Prime Minister

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

Special Consideration

- 119.** I hereby endorse and affirm that any members of “The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT’S Officialdom Agents” who voluntarily acknowledge, sign, support, and cooperate with this Complaint as a lawful correction, before the ICC, ICJ or UCC-1 or any other court/tribunal of competent jurisdiction, and who demonstrate a genuine and ongoing commitment to assisting these proceedings, shall be afforded Special Consideration in recognition of their timely disclosure, good-faith cooperation, and contribution toward the pursuit of truth, accountability, justice, and the restoration of the rule of law.
- 120.** By endorsing the evidence within I am exercising my inherent right of self-determination and free will, free from coercion, duress, or undue influence, I hereby declare and affirm my status on the land and soil jurisdiction of the “Unincorporated Sovereign Australia Independent Nation-State”. I further endorse and support the actions of the United Civilians Saving Australia in filing the aforementioned criminal complaints and reports before the ICC, ICJ, UCC-1 courts or independent tribunal and any other court of competent jurisdiction for the benefit, protection, and advancement of the civilian people of the continent commonly known as Australia.
- 121.** I endorse and request that the ICC, ICJ, UCC-1 court or independent tribunal and the United Nations recognise the legitimate “unincorporated Sovereign Australia Independent Nation-State” of which I am a participant and have occupying Rights on the land and soil on the geographical continent currently and commonly known as Australia, as granted in the Treaty of Peace June 1919 signed at Versailles, and clearly defined in Article 10 of the Covenant of the League of Nations and Article 2 Paragraphs 1 and 4 under the UN Charter 1945.
- 122.** I agree that access to a viable alternative economic system is necessary to cease my funding of the belligerent occupation and restore individual autonomy, freedom of association, and economic self-determination, and to provide a means by which civilian people may voluntarily engage in commerce without compulsory reliance upon existing fiat currency systems alleged to facilitate or support activities contrary to international law, such as funding the domestic military occupation and overseas wars.
- 123.** I respectfully seek orders, rulings, and consideration as the ICC, ICJ, UCC-1 court or independent tribunal may deem appropriate regarding the recognition and implementation of an alternative lawful medium of trade and exchange, namely the Peoples Building Society In-Ground Asset-Backed Currency (ABCoin), which is prepared for deployment with prosperity disbursement gifts.

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

- 124.** I hereby acknowledge, endorse, and support the interim Governance Steering Committee, known as “The Living Governance of Men and Women” of the “Unincorporated United Sovereign Australia Independent Nation-State”, in conjunction with the “United Civilians Saving Australia” movement, as a governance body established by the people and for the people's self-governance, freedoms, honesty, integrity, and prosperity. I further endorse that all future laws, policies, and governance measures be subject to transparent approval by the people through a secure, verifiable blockchain voting system utilising the completed People's Building Society Blockchain Voting Platform, ensuring every person has a continuous voice in a direct democracy and that governance remains by the people, for the people, and accountable to the people.
- 125.** I affirm that those appointed to serve on “The Living Governances of Women and Men” group should be individuals of proven integrity, good character, and demonstrated education and commitment to the public welfare and the common good, as evidenced by their service, working for zero financial gain, sacrifices, community support, and personal testimonials. Their appointment should reflect a dedication to peace, stability, the prevention of civil unrest, and the protection of the interests and future of the people and our lands, heading towards the goal of a “Direct Meritocracy Democracy” (DMD).
- 126.** I respectfully endorse and seek recognition of a lawful framework founded upon the fundamental principles of Common Law, including equality before the law, the duty to cause no harm, with individual accountability for one's actions.
- 127.** I further affirm that no individual, corporation, institution, or public office should enjoy immunity from lawful accountability.
- 128.** I endorse the prohibition of unindicted and indicted co-conspirators from the previous occupying administrations of The Belligerent Usufructuary Executor and Trustee de son tort The AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S Officialdom Agents”, including the Police, bureaucrats, public servants and the Mainstream Media from occupying any future Government Administrative official positions.
- 129.** I affirm and declare that my political status has been duly and lawfully corrected, established and recorded, thereby rebutting and rejecting any presumption that I am a citizen, subject, franchise, legal fiction, or other entity operating under maritime, statutory, or corporate jurisdictions.
- 130.** I further declare my live birthright, on the land and soil standing as a Civilian of Australia, the Sovereign Independent Nation-State, domiciled upon the land and soil mass of the continent commonly known as Australia.
- 131.** By virtue of that birth-right standing, I assert my entitlement to exercise and enjoy all inherent, natural, unalienable, and fundamental rights, liberties, protections, and freedoms. I reserve all rights arising and reject any

Brief of Evidence -- Endorsement

presumption, classification, or designation that would diminish, impair, or interfere with the full exercise of those rights and freedoms.

132. I have read and fully understood and support this Summary of Complaint and Endorsement and, by signing or autographing this document, I voluntarily join and endorse this filing as a Supporting Applicant, Interested Party, Witness, Victim, and/or Civilian Endorser. I affirm and endorse the evidence, facts, allegations, and relief sought herein, voluntarily associate myself with these proceedings, and support any lawful remedies, protections, findings, orders, determinations, or other considerations arising therefrom.

133. I require the filing applicants to act on my behalf and to consult with the endorsers by the <https://ucsa.land> website for approval of any orders offered by any court about any future governance or oversight by international military forces.

134. I further endorse the submission of this filing before the International Criminal Court (ICC), the International Court of Justice (ICJ), and/or any other court of competent jurisdiction, tribunal, or authority.

I, Given names;_____

Family name:_____.

as a Civilians of Australia an Independent Nation-State at the

address of;_____

My Email _____

My Phone Number_____

Electronic or Wet-Ink Signature Autograph / Signature of the Declarant

Date;

"Do not be overcome by evil, but overcome evil with good."

Romans 12:21